IJSSP

المجلة الدولية لنشر الدراسات العلمية
المجلد الثلاثون – العدد الثالث – البحث الثالث (ص: 40 - 57)
15 – أيلول – 2026م

www.ijssp.com ISSN: 2707-5184 International Journal of Scientific Studies Publishing

[From Industrial to Knowledge Societies: A Theoretical Analysis of the Information Revolution's Socio-Cognitive Transformations and Digital Policy Implications]

Researcher:

[Rami Ibrahim Ahmed Hakami]

[Technical College of Telecom and Information – Jeddah – Saudi Arabia]

Abstract:

Information and Communication Technologies are central to the radical changes taking place in contemporary societies. The reconfiguration of the economic, socio-cultural, and lifeworld dimensions of societies, and of the foundations of knowledge and human development, is of primary importance. This study explores the transformation of the economy, the socio-cultural sphere, and the lifeworld of societies from industrial to knowledge societies. It focuses on the transformational digital technologies of the information revolution and the social and cognitive behaviors of individuals. This entails a study of the essential features of a knowledge society, the development of ICTs, and the information revolution, including its impacts on education, work and social relations, changes in values and social cohesion, and the socio-cultural order. The study also describes the issues of closure and restrictive identities in a globalized and digitized culture. In this regard, the study utilizes a theoretical analytical approach based on a narrative review of literature and previous studies to construct a conceptual framework describing the nature and contours of the transformation. The review highlights social and cognitive transformations associated with the information revolution, and challenges in the areas of closure, information access inequity and information security. The need to develop cultural, educational, and research structures and policies to address the challenges of the digital era remains pivotal.

Keywords: Knowledge society – Information revolution – Digital transformation – Education – Values – Cultural identity – Globalization.

DOI Number: https://doi.org/10.62690/ijssp30.3.3

IJSSP
المجلة الدولية لنشر الدراسات العلمية
المجلد الثلاثون – العدد الثالث – البحث الثالث (ص: 40 - 57)
15 – أيلول – 2026م
www.ijssp.com ISSN: 2707-5184 International Journal of Scientific Studies Publishing

[من المجتمع الصناعي إلى مجتمع المعرفة: تحليلٌ نظري لآثار الثورة المعلوماتية في التحولات الاجتماعية-المعرفية والسياسات الرقمية]

إعداد الباحث:

[رامي إبراهيم أحمد حكمي]
[الكلية التقنية للاتصالات والمعلومات بجدة – جدة – المملكة العربية السعودية – علم المعلومات – إدارة المعرفة]

الملخص:

تتغير المجتمعات في عصرنا الحديث تغيرات جذرية. وهذا يعود في جزء منه إلى الثورة المعلوماتية التي أضافت أبعادًا جديدة للحياة الاقتصادية والاجتماعية والثقافية. كما أعادت الثورة المعلوماتية تشكيل أسس المعرفة وأبعاد الوجود الإنساني. وتشمل التغيرات في الابتكارات التي أضافتها الثورة المعلوماتية إعادة تعريف المفاهيم التقليدية للسوق والعمل. كما ظهرت أنماط جديدة من الاقتصاد المعتمد على البيانات والمعلومات بوصفها موارد أساسية. الغرض من هذه الدراسة هو دراسة التحول من المجتمع الصناعي إلى مجتمع المعرفة، ورصد الأثر الكبير لاستخدام التقنيات المحورية في صياغة السلوك الاجتماعي والمعرفي للأفراد، ومقارنة تغيرات العمل، التعليم، والقيم الاجتماعية. وذلك كله بفضل المحركات الكبرى مثل الذكاء الاصطناعي وتحليل البيانات. وتعتمد الدراسة منهجًا نظريًا تحليليًا يقوم على مراجعة سردية للأدبيات والدراسات السابقة. هذه التحولات تجعل من الضروري دراسة الآثار الاجتماعية السلبية لهذه التقنيات. تستعرض الدراسة تطور المجتمعات المعرفية وتكنولوجيا المعلومات والاتصالات، والآثار المتعددة للثورة المعلوماتية، ثم التحديات التي تطرحها العولمة الرقمية فيما يخص الهوية. كما يستعرض معد الدراسة الثقافة الرقمية، مقدماً ملخصاً حول كيفية مساهمة التقنيات الرقمية في تغيير مجرى التاريخ؛ حيث أسهمت في تسريع تدفق المعلومات وإعادة تشكيل البنى الاجتماعية والمعرفية، لكنها في المقابل فرضت تحديات معقدة تتعلق بالهوية، والأمن المعلوماتي، والعدالة الرقمية؛ وتوصي الدراسة بأهمية تطوير سياسات معرفية وثقافية وتعليمية تتناسب مع متطلبات العصر الرقمي، مع التركيز على تمكين الإنسان من أدوات المعرفة الحديثة مع الحفاظ على هويته الثقافية والمجتمعية.

الكلمات المفتاحية: مجتمع المعرفة – الثورة المعلوماتية – التحول الرقمي – التعليم – القيم – الهوية الثقافية – العولمة.

1. Introduction:

The unprecedented changes of the information revolution are unfolding in the world. Economies, societies, and cultures built around digital technology have resulted in a transformation in how societies organize themselves and manage their information systems, structures, and processes. The digital revolution profoundly alters the ways in which people retrieve, create, and disseminate information, transforms the processes of learning, working, and communicating, and shapes personal and social identities.

Equally complex are the challenges the transition poses. The opportunities for innovation and the global circulation of knowledge are plentiful, yet challenges such as cultural fragmentation, threats to social cohesion, and the inequitable flow of information and knowledge resources are equally profound. Most fundamentally, the unequal distribution of information resources, knowledge, and digital technology reinforces social and economic inequalities within and between societies.

Against the backdrop of these challenges, this research explores the shift to knowledge societies resulting from the use of digital technology. It explores the foundations of the information society and the knowledge society, the evolution of information and communication technologies (ICT), the social, cognitive, educational, and cultural ramifications of the information and knowledge revolutions, and the expansion of governance and policy responses aimed at grasping the contours of this transformation.

The value of this study lies in the overarching theoretical construction and the integration of the technological elements with the human and cultural components of societies. Understanding and integrating these components is crucial for policymakers, educators, and cultural stakeholders in managing the digital transformation processes while ensuring cultural and social diversity and cohesion.

The study examines the period from the late twentieth century through the literature represented up to 2021, with particular emphasis on works published from 2015 to 2021. The study has a global perspective with examples from both the developed and developing world, illustrating the varied processes of change. The study is situated in the theoretical and analytical descriptive approach, as synthesized in the literature review, and develops an interpretive framework which facilitates understanding of this societal transformation.

2. Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

2.1 The Concept of the Information and Knowledge Society

Over the past few decades, technological innovations have fostered the evolution of the information society alongside substantial changes in the economy, society, and culture. Current expressions and definitions of the information society emphasize the crucial role of the production, circulation, and use of information in contemporary economies and polities. Knowledge economies and information societies are characterized by the prevalence of data-driven innovations in production processes and the automation of knowledge work and the use of digital communication infrastructures. The growth of such economies and societies integrates information and communication technologies as core elements (van Dijk, 2020).

The concept of the knowledge society has also come to the forefront as a more inclusive paradigm. While information societies centre on the role of technology and information flows, knowledge societies

build on the human dimension, including human capability enhancement, creativity, cultural diversity, and access equity to knowledge resources. For Carayannis and Campbell (2011), knowledge societies rest on the premise of "Mode 3 knowledge production" which combines academic research, industry innovation, civil society, and the state through public policies to achieve sustainable development.

The emergence of digital technologies and the expansion of global connectivity have reconfigured knowledge as a collective and networked instead of an individual and isolated construct. Knowledge production increasingly involves collaborative knowledge economies that include universities, industries, civil society, and the state. These conditions have birthed what some scholars refer to as "networked knowledge societies". In these societies, technology and human resources co-evolve within adaptive environments focused on innovation and productivity (Carayannis & Campbell, 2011).

The difference between an information society and a knowledge society focuses heavily on the goal of each. An information society cares about the availability and flow of information. A knowledge society cares about the creation, contextualization, and practical application of knowledge, especially where social and economic progress is concerned. The knowledge society should have pillars of technologies and other knowledge support systems and should include the frameworks of critical thinking, flexibility of culture, and fair access to knowledge.

Digital transformation is the other major component of the shift from information to knowledge societies. Modern technologies like Artificial Intelligence, Big Data, and Internet of Things enable the analysis and coordination of complex knowledge systems to support informed decision making and real-time global flow of knowledge (McAfee & Brynjolfsson, 2017). These knowledge flows shape the pillars of education, governance, economic growth, and culture, and will be built on in other sections of this study.

2.2 Evolution of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT)

The development of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) has been and continues to be one of the most transformative forces shaping contemporary information and knowledge societies. Recent decades have seen unprecedented developments in technology, the advent of data-driven economies, and the construction of digital platforms and smart infrastructures (van Dijk, 2020).

The early history of the development of the ICT industry and the internetworking of computers focused on the development of personal computers and the Internet. More recently, the world has seen a shift toward the implementation of cloud computing, the 5th generation (5G) mobile telecommunication networks, Artificial Intelligence (AI), and the Internet of Things (IoT), which foster the integration of the digital and physical worlds. Integrating the physical and digital environments has been described as the creation of "smart societies," which are socio-technical systems where advanced technologies are incorporated in the provision of services, governance, and the everyday routines of citizens (Albino et al., 2015; Kitchin, 2014).

Organizations and individuals are able to efficiently address large data sets due to cloud technology's scalable and on-demand core computing resources. These scalable resources support the storage and processing of large data sets, while also raising technical challenges for data-intensive applications (Hashem et al., 2015).

The convergence of AI and the IoT paves the way for the establishment of intelligent ecosystems made up of interconnected systems capable of automated data collection and processing, as well as real-time predictive analytics, decision making, and adaptive systems. Such ecosystems constitute the core of real-time continuous data flow integration for developed knowledge societies and advanced research, innovation, and governance paradigms.

The development of ICT has also changed institutional structures and the social sphere. Education systems adopted digital resources and platforms for remote learning, although emergency remote teaching should be distinguished from planned online learning (Hodges et al., 2020). Built on the facilitation of openness and the participation of citizens, governments have also issued e-governance initiatives. Transformations of digital business (Vial, 2019) have also resulted in new corporate structures and changed production and service delivery paradigms.

The rapid growth of ICT also brings in new challenges. Digital divides, data privacy concerns, and technological dependency remain important issues as ICT use increases (van Dijk, 2020; Zuboff, 2019). As societies continue to move to deeper digital integration, the need for socially equitable, ethically sound, and inclusive ICT plans becomes critical.

2.3 Social and Cognitive Impacts of the Information Revolution

The Information Revolution has affected the processes of human thought and changed the social and psychological facets of identity. This is particularly true after the expansion of digital systems, mobile technologies, and algorithms, especially following 2015. Studies have identified associations between patterns of digital technology use and cognitive performance, although the evidence does not establish that these effects are irreversible (Ophir et al., 2009; Wilmer et al., 2017).

2.3.1 Cognitive Transformation in the Digital Age

The spate of digital information, particularly the ones that do not require active participation, impacts the functioning of attention, the memory system, and other information processing systems. Associations between digital media multitasking and difficulties with attention and cognitive control have been reported, although findings vary and causal conclusions remain limited (Wilmer et al., 2017). Users of digital tools (e.g., search engines, cloud storage, and reminders) may engage in ‘cognitive offloading’. In such scenarios, information is stored and processed with the digital tool, reducing the need to retain it internally. Prior use of the Internet to obtain information can increase reliance on it for subsequent questions (Storm et al., 2017).

Ophir et al. (2009) showed that frequent media multitaskers have poorer performance on tasks involving the filtering of irrelevant information and task switching relative to low multitaskers. Additionally, digital media exposure is linked with reading patterns that involve more surface scanning and skimming, as opposed to deep reading and reflection (Liu, 2005).

2.3.2 Social Interaction and Digital Connectivity

Social media, messaging applications, and other digital platforms shape social bonds and facilitate cross-cultural and cross-national relationships. Networked social interactions help in the formation of networked social publics, where the participants co-construct and co-produce discourses, digital content, and knowledge on a global scale.

Some social media and networked social interaction features may have negative socio-psychological consequences. Research has examined the relationship between Facebook news use and political polarization (Beam et al., 2020). Echo chambers and patterns of homophily have also been identified, although their extent differs across platforms (Cinelli et al., 2021).

The practice of social comparison on digital platforms and its possible consequences for psychological well-being do raise concerns. These effects vary with platform features and patterns of use (Bayer et al., 2020).

2.3.3 Identity and Self-Presentation in Digital Contexts

The opportunity to present and shape one's identities has steadily increased with social media and the internet as new digital environments emerge. Through selective self-disclosure, visual materials, and varying forms of engagement with others, users shape their identities. In each case, opportunities are offered for self-expression and the creation of social ties, but the challenge of crossing the divide between the public and private spheres in one's identity becomes relevant, as issues of privacy, surveillance, and authenticity emerge (Bayer et al., 2020).

Digital environments are shaped through different social and technical frameworks, as each space contains different social norms and rules, resulting in the 'networked self' becoming fragmented and disparate across different spheres. This globalization of disparate social frameworks can lead individuals to engage in self-monitoring and adapt to the socio-technical frameworks of each space.

2.4 Digital Transformation and Technological Infrastructure

The growing digital and knowledge economy of the world has its roots in the expanding technological infrastructure. Since the mid-2010s, the incorporation of digital technologies into all areas of life has been predicated on the rapid advancements made in broadband networks, the cloud, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things (McAfee & Brynjolfsson, 2017). Contemporary digital infrastructures provide the real-time processing, distributed computing, and scalable storage necessary to support knowledge-intensive industries and smart public services (Li et al., 2020).

Digital infrastructure supports services such as telemedicine, digital education, and smart-city applications. Real-time data processing and interconnected systems are important to these services (Li et al., 2020). Their development also depends on the organizational and technical mechanisms through which digital infrastructures evolve (Henfridsson & Bygstad, 2013).

Finally, digital transformation requires more than the simple addition of new technologies; it encompasses the restructuring of organizational processes, value chains, and governance mechanisms (Vial, 2019). For digital transformation to truly be effective, there must be interaction between the

technological components and the people responsible for the sustainable creation and exchange of knowledge (Lyytinen et al., 2016). In this regard, the digital infrastructure is a provision and driver of the knowledge society because it permits the integration of open data and the interaction of knowledge-sharing, participatory innovation, and collaborative frameworks.

2.5 Knowledge Economy and Innovation Systems

Positioning the knowledge economy entails identifying the creation, distribution, and utilization of knowledge as the core elements of economic growth, competitiveness, and societal progress (Foray, 2004). The knowledge economy is different from the traditional, industrial economy in that it primarily utilizes intangible resources like human capital, intellectual property, digital infrastructures, and research networks as the basis for value creation (Corrado et al., 2016). Studies of regional innovation systems examine the interaction of institutions, knowledge flows, and innovation in regional development (Asheim et al., 2016).

As Edquist (2010) states, theoretical systems of innovation are built on the economic interaction of various entities, such as universities, government agencies, civil society actors, and firms, within a shared institutional framework. In the context of innovation and the digital age, systems are becoming more globalized, open, and of course, digitally interconnected, with more and more knowledge crossing borders. Autio et al. (2018) and Nambisan et al. (2017) describe how digital ecosystems and platforms facilitate collaborative innovation and knowledge diffusion beyond geographical constraints.

Knowledge economies and contemporary development strategies are needed for all economies, both advanced and emerging. Within innovation systems, the pillars of R&D and R&D policy, digital entrepreneurship, protection of intellectual property, and digital literacy form the basis of innovation systems. As Cohen and Levinthal (1990) and Zahra and George (2002) describe, absorptive capacity concerns the ability of organizations to recognize, assimilate, and apply outside knowledge, linking learning to innovation.

Knowledge and innovation are unevenly distributed across places. Balland et al. (2020) show that complex economic activities concentrate in large cities, while Archibugi and Filippetti (2015) discuss knowledge as a global public good. These perspectives draw attention to the institutional and technological conditions through which knowledge can contribute to economic development.

2.6 Policy Frameworks and Governance in the Knowledge Society

Comprehensive digital transformation policies need to integrate technology with social, economic, and cultural development. Such policies should support the development of the knowledge economy and balance the flows of information and the sustainable development of innovation ecosystems. This demonstrates the ‘possible’ value of the information and knowledge society, and the expected benefits of effective policy and governance frameworks aimed at the information economy.

Network governance in a knowledge society shifts the focus to new forms of coordination and collaboration across various stakeholders, including public authorities, civil society, the private sector, and academia (Kuhlmann & Rip, 2018). Such collaboration is needed to design policy actions that effectively respond to the challenges posed by the interplay between digital transformations and

knowledge flows. Relevant policy priorities include the expansion of digital infrastructures, cybersecurity, e-government provision, and open data as key initiatives.

Finally, the mechanisms of governance that regulate the construction, distribution, and use of knowledge are of paramount importance. IP protection, data governance, privacy protections, and AI ethics all constitute domains of knowledge and contemporary governance systems (Floridi et al., 2018). Thus, all these mechanisms seek to regulate the contradictory forces of innovation and the public interest to ensure that digital and knowledge transformations are aligned with democracy and human rights.

2.6.1 Developing Adaptive and Inclusive Governance

Governance systems must be flexible and comprehensive so they can respond adequately to new and emerging technologies and their uses (Kuhlmann & Rip, 2018). The conventional approach to policy formulation and implementation, which is based on a top-down perspective, may be insufficient on its own and can be complemented by more innovative systems of 'network governance' which are characterized by horizontal and coordinated collaboration among different policy makers and their stakeholders, extensive participation of varied social stakeholders, and the capacity to learn and adapt on an ongoing basis.

Moreover, policies aimed at the digital divide include digital literacy initiatives, internet access subsidies, and support for technological skills development among the marginalized. Such policy initiatives aim to create equitable access to the intellectual and knowledge resources of the society and limit the widening of the socio-economic divide.

2.7 Social and Cultural Dimensions in the Knowledge Society

The implications of the knowledge society extend to the social and cultural structures, particularly in the ways that people communicate, build and transform their identities, connect to communities, and engage with their social-cultural heritage. Research on the influence of digital networks on culture, society, and collective behavior at the global and local levels has grown since 2015 (Couldry & Hepp, 2016; Fuchs, 2021).

Perhaps the most significant of these alterations is the digitization of cultural materials and the subsequent global distribution of such materials. Museums and archival institutions of cultural heritage have embraced technology so much that it is possible to preserve, curate, and distribute artifacts of culture to an international audience (Giaccardi, 2012). This has enabled communities to access cultural knowledge and to engage in heritage crowdsourcing and reinterpretation. This reinterpretation has facilitated people's role as participants through online exhibitions and participatory media (Bonacchi & Krzyzanska, 2019).

Global circulation of information and media has intensified intercultural interactions, which, in turn, enrich culture. Dominant media and information services may also marginalize local and indigenous cultural expression (Couldry & Hepp, 2016; Fuchs, 2021). Digital technology has intensified transnational interactions and intercultural dialogues, which fuel the creation of new cultural forms and hybridity. These dynamics raise concerns about cultural homogenization whereby dominant narratives, especially those propagated by the Western media, eclipse the value of local cultures and languages.

The interplay of globalization and localization gives rise to 'glocalization', a concept referring to the amalgamation of global influences with local identities to produce value and meaning.

Socially, the use of digital technologies has shifted and redefined the means of communication and the formation of communities. The global reach of online networks enables the creation of communities and the geographically dispersed collaborative production of knowledge as well as the civic engagement of citizens (Loader et al., 2014). While it is widely recognized that digital networks facilitate social movements, cultural activism, and the circulation of knowledge, there are also unresolved issues such as misinformation, polarization, the digital panopticon, and social surveillance (Zuboff, 2019).

Critical social issues surrounding the digital divide remain. Enhanced digital connectivity and knowledge access do not eliminate structural barriers such as a lack of connectivity infrastructure, digital skills, and cultural presence within the digital ecosystem, all of which are detrimental to the agency of marginalized communities (Ash et al., 2018; van Dijk, 2020). Policies that respond to these divides should be sensitive to culture and include the designs of technology that include diverse systems of knowledge and cultural practices.

Lastly, the knowledge society also impacts the formation of identity. Increasingly, people use digital platforms to create and perform their personal and social identities, and their cultural and knowledge-relevant narratives, in practice and theory, intersect in the construction of a shifting tapestry. As a result, people have shifting, more networked identities, but tensions over a lack of authenticity, and issues of appropriation, representation, and a true voice have increased.

2.8 Previous Studies

The works reviewed here, particularly those published from 2015 to 2021, examine the multidisciplinary effects of the information and knowledge society. Within the social, economic, cultural, and educational areas of the society, these works provide a wealth of foundational theories and empirical data to illustrate digital civilizational transformation. For the purposes of clarity in analysis, the works can be consolidated into four areas. They are: (1) Economic and Technological, (2) Educational, (3) Social and Cognitive, and (4) Cultural and Ethical dimensions.

2.8.1 Economic and Technological Dimensions

The economic features of the knowledge society continue to be examined with respect to digital integration, transformations within the labor market, and innovation ecosystems, with numerous authors examining the economic foundations attributed to various new technologies (including automation, artificial intelligence [AI], blockchain, and big-data analytics) and the subsequent restructuring of the economy's foundations (McAfee & Brynjolfsson, 2017; Schwab, 2016). Arntz et al. (2016) studied automation's impact on employment across the OECD and concluded that effects depend heavily on skills and industries. In the same vein, the relationship between digitalization and job creation and destruction was examined by Balsmeier and Woerter (2019).

The impact of digital ecosystems on newly formed, flexible and globalized labor markets, as well as new remote work configurations, gig economies, and other ecosystems, is well documented (De Stefano, 2016; Wood et al., 2019). New platforms and technologies that drive work integration, however, tend to

foster inequality and work precariousness (van Doorn, 2017). Lastly, the uneven spread of new digital and other technologies fosters new divides within and across borders.

2.8.2 Educational Dimensions

In the field of education, more recent research examines the role of digital learning environments, AI-driven adaptive learning, and open educational resources (OER) on the transformation of teaching and learning (Holmes et al., 2019; Selwyn, 2016). During the COVID-19 pandemic, the use of remote education expanded rapidly, while deep-seated inequalities related to infrastructure and digital literacy also became apparent (Al Lily et al., 2020).

Empirical studies examine the pedagogical gaps created by the introduction of ICT. Integrating digital technologies enables and supports the shift toward more personalized, competency-based education. Innovative practices and, equally, structural barriers, were observed by Al Lily et al. (2020) during the pandemic and remote learning in the Arab world. Moreover, research on AI in higher education identifies a range of applications while highlighting the limited involvement of educators in this research (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

2.8.3 Social and Cognitive Dimensions

Social research concerning digital technologies tends to focus on interpersonal communication, the construction of communities, and issues of identity. Mediated communication involves important dimensions of empathy, authenticity, and social connection. Social media also raises questions about the emotional and cognitive components of attention fragmentation and affective polarization.

Research on networked publics documents knowledge production, activism, and civic engagement (Loader et al., 2014). Other work examines the spread of false news online (Vosoughi et al., 2018) and the commercial collection and use of personal data within surveillance capitalism (Zuboff, 2019). These observations point to tensions between empowerment and control in digital environments.

2.8.4 Cultural and Ethical Dimensions

The relationship between digital transformation, cultural identity, and the ethical regulation of governance is an important area of inquiry. The process of analysing the cultural media flows and the digital transformation of cultures can be done in two different ways. Through cultural globalization there is an expansion of hybrid cultural formations. Subsequently, the digital transformation of cultures also triggers worries of cultural homogenization and the loss of minority languages.

The ethical issues surrounding digital knowledge and inclusion are being addressed by several authors (Floridi et al., 2018). Algorithmic bias, issues of data privacy, and digital rights are now highly contested issues (Noble, 2018; Zuboff, 2019).

2.9 Policy Frameworks and Strategic Governance for Knowledge Societies

Along with technological innovations and the ability to create new technologies, the development of a knowledge-based society also requires effective policy frameworks and strategic governance. The development of knowledge societies requires coherent national strategies that encompass education, research, innovation, and digital transformation. The Government's role includes the establishment of regulatory frameworks, the provision of innovation incentives, and the guarantee of equitable access to knowledge resources.

In this regard, strategic governance is the alignment of public institutions, private players, and civil society with national priorities and the global knowledge flow. Transitions to knowledge economies can be supported by multi-level governance frameworks which foster cooperation between ministries, regional bodies, and industry stakeholders. Models of cross-sectoral integration are designed to help resolve issues with siloed policies.

Regarding the development and use of knowledge, its diffusion is shaped by intellectual property rights and open access policies. A balance is needed between incentives for innovation and access to knowledge for educational, scholarly, and societal use (Archibugi & Filippetti, 2015). Collaboration within and across sectors can support human capital, the co-production of knowledge, and civic participation through open data.

Governance frameworks therefore need to remain responsive to technological change and its social consequences (Kuhlmann & Rip, 2018).

3. Research Problem, Questions, Hypotheses, and Objectives

3.1 Problem Statement

Sociologically, the transformation from a traditional industrial society to a highly interconnected or networked knowledge society is manifested in the information revolution. This change dramatically reshapes the ways in which people access, produce, and share information. The social, cultural, and economic effects are highly significant. In addition to these transformations, challenges related to identity, cultural fractures, and information inequity are problems that need solving.

3.2 Research Questions

Consequently, the study is designed to address the following questions:

1. In what ways has the information revolution contributed to the transformation of industrial societies to knowledge societies?
2. What are the implications of digital technologies for human cognitive and social behavior?
3. In which ways has the information revolution changed education, work, and the value systems of society?
4. In the digital age, what problems do societies encounter concerning cultural and identity closure versus identity openness?

3.3 Research Hypotheses

Understanding and conceptualizing socio-technological changes, as highlighted in the study, involves more of a qualitative, literature-based approach than the testing of empirical hypotheses.

3.4 Objectives of the Study

5. To study the conceptual underpinnings of the knowledge society.
6. To evaluate the historical development of ICT and its influence on the transformation of society.
7. To assess the impacts of the information revolution on education, work, and value systems.
8. To highlight problems and seek solutions for the cultural and educational integration of the information revolution.

4. Significance of the Study

Understanding the changes in modern societies associated with ICT, as well as the opportunities and dangers posed by digital transformation, is important. This study serves as a theoretical perspective for policymakers, educators, and cultural stakeholders.

5. Study Boundaries and Limitations

5.1 Temporal and Spatial Boundaries

The transformations studied here involve the globe from the late twentieth century through the period represented in the cited literature, which spans 1990–2021. The study focuses primarily on the social order of developed and developing nations, without a country-specific empirical comparison.

5.2 Limitations

The study examines global transformations within the context of both the developed and developing worlds. However, because it is a theoretical study, primary data collection, including surveys and interviews, was not utilized. The inclusion of varied disciplinary approaches is a strength of the study—drawing from sociology, information science, cultural studies, and policy analysis—but it is important to keep in mind the reliance on secondary sources and interpretive selection. The review cannot establish causal effects or represent all relevant literature. Its cited evidence ends in 2021, so later developments are outside its evidential scope.

6. Definition of Key Terms

Information Society: A society in which the creation, distribution, and manipulation of information comprise the principal economic, political, and cultural activities (van Dijk, 2020).

Knowledge Society: A society in which the systematic production, distribution, and application of knowledge to improve the human condition is the central activity. This working definition emphasizes the human and collaborative dimensions of knowledge production discussed by Carayannis and Campbell (2011).

Digital Transformation: The adoption of digital technologies across all domains of society, which changes how activities are fundamentally carried out. The term is used here at the societal level, extending the organizational focus discussed by Vial (2019).

7. Methodology

In studying the shift from industrial to knowledge societies during the period of the information revolution, the author adopts a theoretical and analytical approach. Concepts from sociology, communication, information science, and policy studies are brought together through narrative synthesis.

7.1 Research Design

The research employs a descriptive and analytical design in which a narrative review and synthesis of pertinent scholarly work, policy documents, and theoretical constructs published from 1990 to 2021, with particular attention to 2015–2021, was conducted. This enabled the mapping out of conceptual trajectories in the development of core issues and the assessment of the relationship between technological advancement and sociocultural development (Snyder, 2019; Xiao & Watson, 2019).

7.2 Sources of Data

The review draws on scholarly journal articles, working papers from the OECD and the European Investment Bank, as well as pertinent scholarly books that deal with digital transformation, information society theory, cognitive change, and cultural identity. The evidence base consists of the publications listed in the references.

7.3 Study Instrument, Procedures, and Data Analysis

A narrative literature review method was used to organize and interpret the sources. The discussion focuses on “knowledge society”, “digital transformation”, “information revolution”, “evolution of ICT”, and “cultural identity in the digital age”. The analytical instrument is the thematic reading and comparison of these concepts. Thematic synthesis provides a methodological point of reference (Thomas & Harden, 2008). The analysis includes:

9. Identification and discussion of key concepts from the cited literature.
10. Comparison of substantive themes and theoretical perspectives across the literature.
11. Narrative synthesis of the relationships among technological transformation, cognitive change, social relations, culture, and policy.

8. Findings and Discussion

The narrative synthesis of the literature on the transition to knowledge societies is organized into five analytical findings.

8.1 Acceleration of Digital Transformation

The rapid developments in ICT, particularly in AI, cloud computing, and digital networks, have been altering the very social and economic foundations of societies. The relative ease of real-time communication and the exchange of large volumes of data have been crucial factors in the emergence of 'smart societies' characterized by digital interconnectivity and innovation-driven socio-economic advancement (Kitchin, 2014; McAfee & Brynjolfsson, 2017).

8.2 Cognitive Restructuring in the Age of Information

The Information Age has ushered in profound changes in human cognition, particularly in attention, memory, and learning. Studies associate digital multitasking with differences in attention and cognitive control, while noting limits to causal interpretation (Ophir et al., 2009; Wilmer et al., 2017). Reliance on digital tools for information retrieval also raises questions about cognitive offloading (Storm et al., 2017). Therefore, educational systems need to develop frameworks aimed at constructive critical thinking and integrated digital literacy to prevent shallow absorption of information.

8.3 Evolution of Socialization and the Cultural Self

The use of digital technologies has created networked publics that go beyond physical proximity, impacting the ways people shape their identities and interact with one another. While the technologies increase knowledge participation and dissemination, they also raise concerns about polarization, cultural homogenization, and surveillance capitalism (Cinelli et al., 2021; Zuboff, 2019). The clash of globalization with localized forms of identity has produced new cultural hybridity.

8.4 Emergence of Knowledge-Driven Economies

The dominant role of knowledge in the economy and the economic driver role of the innovation system and human capital are discussed in the literature (Balland et al., 2020; Foray, 2004). Digital ecosystems enable knowledge to cross borders and become accessible to other regions. However, there are still gaps between regions with advanced infrastructures and those with basic infrastructures.

8.5 Policy Frameworks and Governance Challenges

Multi-stakeholder governance is needed to address the digital transformation of cross-border relations, mostly focusing on the regulatory frameworks of data protection, privacy, intellectual property, and the ethics of artificial intelligence (Floridi et al., 2018). The use of open and linked data also requires attention to the tension between transparency and privacy (Janssen & van den Hoven, 2015).

8.6 Discussion

In summary, the information revolution is not simply a technological shift. It reflects deeper, multiple processes of societal transformation in the cognitive, cultural, economic, and political domains of governance. New digital technologies are the facilitators of unprecedented levels of social connectivity and knowledge production, but they also foster new challenges and conflicts of a social and ethical nature. This describes, in part, the double-edged nature of network societies: they empower users while also stratifying access and opportunity. The synthesis is also consistent with previous theoretical frameworks on the co-evolution of technology and human agency (Carayannis & Campbell, 2011).

Addressing the gap between policy development and the effects of the digital divide and cultural friction remains necessary for cohesive and sustainable knowledge societies.

9. Conclusion and Recommendations

9.1 Conclusion

This study focused on how societies shifted toward digital forms of knowledge through a theoretical and analytical approach. As noted in the literature, the shift to knowledge societies and the associated restructuring of the cognitive, social, cultural, and economic systems were supported by innovations in technology, particularly in ICT. Changes, however, do bring about new inequalities and unsolved issues of governance, all of which create tension around social identity.

The information revolution, thus, needs a rethinking beyond just a technological phenomenon. The construction of sustainable knowledge societies demands a blend of further technological, educational, and cultural integration as well as inclusive and ethical governance.

9.2 Summary of Key Findings

12. Digital transformation is a structural driver of societal change.
13. Societal and cognitive behaviors are associated with changes in digital technology.
14. Globalization can contribute to cultural identity enhancement and erosion.
15. Innovation ecosystems and human capital are the basis for knowledge economies.
16. Governance systems must address the tensions of innovation, inclusion, and ethics.

9.3 Recommendations

17. Educational Systems: To prepare individuals for 21st century knowledge societies, the curricula must incorporate digital literacy and critical thinking as core components.
18. Cultural Policies: To address the hegemonic globalization of cultures, sustain and promote varied local cultural expressions in their digital forms.
19. Digital Inclusion: Close the gaps in infrastructure and digital skills, especially in the global south.
20. Ethical Governance: Develop responsive and adaptive governance frameworks to address equity issues, data ethics, and the ethics of artificial intelligence.
21. Cross-Sector Collaboration: Encourage collaboration to sustain innovation ecosystems among government, academia, industry, and civil society.
22. Continuous Research: Promote interdisciplinary research focused on the new dynamics of knowledge societies.

References:

Albino, V., Berardi, U., & Dangelico, R. M. (2015). Smart cities: Definitions, dimensions, performance, and initiatives. Journal of Urban Technology, 22(1), 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2014.942092

Al Lily, A. E., Ismail, A. F., Abunasser, F. M., & Alhajhoj Alqahtani, R. H. (2020). Distance education as a response to pandemics: Coronavirus and Arab culture. Technology in Society, 63, Article 101317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101317

Archibugi, D., & Filippetti, A. (2015). Knowledge as global public good. In D. Archibugi & A. Filippetti (Eds.), The handbook of global science, technology, and innovation (pp. 477–503). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118739044.ch23

Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2016). The risk of automation for jobs in OECD countries: A comparative analysis (OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers No. 189). OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/5jlz9h56dvq7-en

Ash, J., Kitchin, R., & Leszczynski, A. (2018). Digital turn, digital geographies? Progress in Human Geography, 42(1), 25–43. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132516664800

Asheim, B. T., Grillitsch, M., & Trippl, M. (2016). Regional innovation systems: Past–present–future. In R. Shearmur, C. Carrincazeaux, & D. Doloreux (Eds.), Handbook on the geographies of innovation (pp. 45–62). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Autio, E., Nambisan, S., Thomas, L. D. W., & Wright, M. (2018). Digital affordances, spatial affordances, and the genesis of entrepreneurial ecosystems. Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 12(1), 72–95. https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1266

Balland, P.-A., Jara-Figueroa, C., Petralia, S. G., Steijn, M. P., Rigby, D. L., & Hidalgo, C. A. (2020). Complex economic activities concentrate in large cities. Nature Human Behaviour, 4(3), 248–254. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-019-0803-3

Balsmeier, B., & Woerter, M. (2019). Is this time different? How digitalization influences job creation and destruction. Research Policy, 48(8), Article 103765. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2019.03.010

Bayer, J. B., Triệu, P., & Ellison, N. B. (2020). Social media elements, ecologies, and effects. Annual Review of Psychology, 71, 471–497. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010419-050944

Beam, M. A., Hutchens, M. J., & Hmielowski, J. D. (2020). Facebook news and (de)polarization: Reinforcing spirals in the 2016 US election. In M. Beaufort (Ed.), Digital media, political polarization and challenges to democracy (pp. 26–44). Routledge.

Bonacchi, C., & Krzyzanska, M. (2019). Digital heritage research re-theorised: Ontologies and epistemologies in a world of big data. International Journal of Heritage Studies, 25(12), 1235–1247. https://doi.org/10.1080/13527258.2019.1578989

Carayannis, E. G., & Campbell, D. F. J. (2011). Open innovation diplomacy and a 21st century fractal research, education and innovation (FREIE) ecosystem: Building on the quadruple and quintuple helix innovation concepts and the "Mode 3" knowledge production system. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 2(3), 327–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-011-0058-3

Cinelli, M., De Francisci Morales, G., Galeazzi, A., Quattrociocchi, W., & Starnini, M. (2021). The echo chamber effect on social media. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(9), Article e2023301118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023301118

Cohen, W. M., & Levinthal, D. A. (1990). Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. Administrative Science Quarterly, 35(1), 128–152. https://doi.org/10.2307/2393553

Corrado, C., Haskel, J., Jona-Lasinio, C., & Iommi, M. (2016). Intangible investment in the EU and US before and since the Great Recession and its contribution to productivity growth (EIB Working Papers No. 2016/08). European Investment Bank.

Couldry, N., & Hepp, A. (2016). The mediated construction of reality. Polity.

De Stefano, V. (2016). The rise of the "just-in-time workforce": On-demand work, crowdwork, and labor protection in the "gig economy." Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal, 37(3), 471–504.

Edquist, C. (2010). Systems of innovation perspectives and challenges. African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development, 2(3), 14–45.

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schafer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. Minds and Machines, 28(4), 689–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

Foray, D. (2004). Economics of knowledge. MIT Press.

Fuchs, C. (2021). Social media: A critical introduction (3rd ed.). Sage.

Giaccardi, E. (Ed.). (2012). Heritage and social media: Understanding heritage in a participatory culture. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203112984

Hashem, I. A. T., Yaqoob, I., Anuar, N. B., Mokhtar, S., Gani, A., & Khan, S. U. (2015). The rise of "big data" on cloud computing: Review and open research issues. Information Systems, 47, 98–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.is.2014.07.006

Henfridsson, O., & Bygstad, B. (2013). The generative mechanisms of digital infrastructure evolution. MIS Quarterly, 37(3), 907–931. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2013/37.3.11

Hodges, C. B., Moore, S., Lockee, B. B., Trust, T., & Bond, M. A. (2020, March 27). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. EDUCAUSE Review. https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Janssen, M., & van den Hoven, J. (2015). Big and open linked data (BOLD) in government: A challenge to transparency and privacy? Government Information Quarterly, 32(4), 363–368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.11.007

Kitchin, R. (2014). The data revolution: Big data, open data, data infrastructures and their consequences. Sage. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781473909472

Kuhlmann, S., & Rip, A. (2018). Next-generation innovation policy and grand challenges. Science and Public Policy, 45(4), 448–454. https://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scy011

Li, W., Batty, M., & Goodchild, M. F. (2020). Real-time GIS for smart cities. International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 34(2), 311–324. https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2019.1673397

Liu, Z. (2005). Reading behavior in the digital environment: Changes in reading behavior over the past ten years. Journal of Documentation, 61(6), 700–712. https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410510632040

Loader, B. D., Vromen, A., & Xenos, M. A. (2014). Introduction: The networked young citizen: Social media, political participation and civic engagement. In B. D. Loader, A. Vromen, & M. A. Xenos (Eds.), The networked young citizen (pp. 1–13). Routledge.

Lyytinen, K., Yoo, Y., & Boland, R. J., Jr. (2016). Digital product innovation within four classes of innovation networks. Information Systems Journal, 26(1), 47–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12093

McAfee, A., & Brynjolfsson, E. (2017). Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future. W. W. Norton & Company.

Nambisan, S., Lyytinen, K., Majchrzak, A., & Song, M. (2017). Digital innovation management: Reinventing innovation management research in a digital world. MIS Quarterly, 41(1), 223–238. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2017/41:1.03

Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. New York University Press.

Ophir, E., Nass, C., & Wagner, A. D. (2009). Cognitive control in media multitaskers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(37), 15583–15587. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903620106

Schwab, K. (2016). The fourth industrial revolution. World Economic Forum.

Selwyn, N. (2016). Education and technology: Key issues and debates (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Storm, B. C., Stone, S. M., & Benjamin, A. S. (2017). Using the Internet to access information inflates future use of the Internet to access other information. Memory, 25(6), 717–723. https://doi.org/10.1080/09658211.2016.1210171

Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 8, Article 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45

van Dijk, J. A. G. M. (2020). The network society (4th ed.). Sage.

van Doorn, N. (2017). Platform labor: On the gendered and racialized exploitation of low-income service work in the "on-demand" economy. Information, Communication & Society, 20(6), 898–914. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2017.1294194

Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28(2), 118–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003

Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. Science, 359(6380), 1146–1151. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9559

Wilmer, H. H., Sherman, L. E., & Chein, J. M. (2017). Smartphones and cognition: A review of research exploring the links between mobile technology habits and cognitive functioning. Frontiers in Psychology, 8, Article 605. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00605

Wood, A. J., Graham, M., Lehdonvirta, V., & Hjorth, I. (2019). Good gig, bad gig: Autonomy and algorithmic control in the global gig economy. Work, Employment and Society, 33(1), 56–75. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017018785616

Xiao, Y., & Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review. Journal of Planning Education and Research, 39(1), 93–112. https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971

Zahra, S. A., & George, G. (2002). Absorptive capacity: A review, reconceptualization, and extension. Academy of Management Review, 27(2), 185–203. https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2002.6587995

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—Where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16, Article 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0

Zuboff, S. (2019). The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power. PublicAffairs.